

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,070	08/22/2003	Michael Wayne Graham	546322000303	8796	
20872	7590 10/15/2004		EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			SULLIVAN,	SULLIVAN, DANIEL M	
425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1636		
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/646,070	GRAHAM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary Examiner	Art Unit					
Daniel M Sullivar						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover iod for Reply	r sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXECUTED THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimal. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communicate earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ever, may a reply be timely filed  nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
tus						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-fine	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
position of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 48-106 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 48-106 are subject to restriction and/or election require	ement.					
plication Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the	ne drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some col None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
chment(s)						
	Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/646,070

Art Unit: 1636

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 48-91, drawn to an isolated genetic construct comprising at least two copies of a structural gene sequence comprising sequence substantially identical to a region of a target gene, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
- II. Claims 92-106, drawn to a method of treating a human cell comprising administering the genetic construct of Group I, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product is directed to a genetic construct encoding an expressible sequence, which can be used to express the expressible sequence in a cell-free system as well as *in situ* according to the method of Group II. Methods of expressing nucleic acids in cell free systems have distinct utility and comprise distinct process steps from a method of treating a cell comprising administering a genetic construct. Therefore, the processes are materially different, and the product of Group I can be used in at least two materially different processes.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,070

Art Unit: 1636

Although the Office acknowledges that in the event a product claim is deemed allowable, determining patentability of process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim does not impose an undue burden (see below), no such determination of patentability has been made in the instant case. In the event that the product is not patentable, a determination of whether each method of using the product is patentable over the art is based upon the particulars of the method and not on the product made by or used in the method. Therefore, until the product is deemed allowable, search and examination of the process claims with the product imposes an undue burden on the Office. As discussed in detail below, if a product claim is found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined

Application/Control Number: 10/646,070

Art Unit: 1636

claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 571-272-0779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel M Sullivan, Ph.D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1636